



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 200/2024**

ROHIT BHASIN AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Shruti Agarwal and Ms. Ranjita
Biswas, Advocates.

versus

SEEMA SAHNI

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

22.05.2026

%

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 35567/2026 (for restoration of petition)

2. The present application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking restoration of the present petition which was dismissed in default vide order dated 30th April, 2026.

3. A perusal of the record shows that respondent has not been served so far in the present petition.

4. Heard. Record perused.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners as well as the counsel could not appear in Court on the said date as they inadvertently noted the wrong date of hearing, i.e., 13th May, 2026 instead of 30th April, 2026, and their non-appearance is neither intentional nor deliberate.

6. Keeping in view the submissions made by the learned counsel for the



petitioners, the impugned order is set aside and the present petition is restored to its original number. Accordingly, the application stands allowed.

CM(M) 200/2024

7. Upon petitioner taking necessary steps, let fresh notice be issued to the respondent through all permissible modes.
8. List on 09th October, 2026.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

MAY 22, 2026/v/ik