



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 878/2025**

MS. ANUPAMA DAYAL

....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shantanu Sood and Mr. Abhay
Singh, Advocates.

versus

M/S LAS BLONDAS SA & ORS.

....Defendants

Through: Mr. Paritosh Anil, Advocate for D-1, 3
and 4.

Mr. Saurabh Guha, Advocate for D-2
and D-5.

Mr. Manish Singhal, Advocate for D-8.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

30.04.2026

I.A. 12234/2026 (Under Order XXXIX Rule 2A, CPC)

1. This is an application filed on behalf of the plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, (hereinafter referred to as 'CPC') seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the defendant no.1 for willful and deliberate violation of the order dated 06.02.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the defendant no.1 states that it is taking some time to collate information in respect of the directions in para 9 and 10 of the order dated 06.02.2026 and therefore, the affidavits could not be filed in the time, as directed.

3. He seeks and is granted further five weeks' time to place on record the affidavits in terms of para 9 and 10 of the order dated 06.02.2026.

4. In view of the aforesaid statement of learned counsel, it is deemed appropriate to re-notify the present application on 12.08.2026.



5. The defendant no.1 shall continue to remain bound by the statement recorded in para 8 of the order dated 06.02.2026.

CS(COMM) 878/2025

6. Mr. Manish Singhal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no.8 states that an affidavit of compliance has already been filed, whereby, defendant no.8 has undertaken not to manufacture any clothing and/or readymade garments containing the infringing artwork/designs of the plaintiff in future, at any point of time or in any manner. Learned counsel states that in view of the said undertaking, filed by way of an affidavit, the defendant no.8 may be deleted from the array of parties.

7. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that in view of the said undertaking, the defendant no.8 may be deleted from the array of parties.

8. In view of the aforesaid statements and also in view of the provisions of Order I Rule 10(2), CPC, this Court deems it appropriate to delete the defendant no.8 from the array of parties, as the affidavit of undertaking suffices the plaintiff's grievance *qua* the defendant no.8.

9. Accordingly, the defendant no.8 is deleted from the array of parties.

10. The amended memo of parties be filed within one week.

11. List the matter on 12.08.2026.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

APRIL 30, 2026/anj