



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 736/2024

ANASTASIA MIRJANA JOJIC OBEROI & ORS.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Swapnil Gupta, Mr.
Aadil Singh Boparai, Ms.
Shivambika Sinha, Ms.
Nimita Kaul & Mr.
Abhishek Dubey, Advs.
Mr Anuj Panwar, Adv. for
Plaintiff no. 1 to 3
(M:7042020705)

versus

RAJARAMAN SHANKAR & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Ribhu Garg and Mr.
Shreyash Sharma, Advs. for
D-1 & 2.
Ms. Amita Gupta, Ms.
Surbhi Khattar, Ms.Ambika
Mathur & Ms.Neharika
Chabra, Advs. for D-3
(through VC)
Mr. Narendra M. Sharma,
Mr.Aryan Sharma and Ms.
Shubhangi Tiwari, Advs. for
D-4 & 9.
Mr. Shivank Diddi and Ms.
Perna Banerjee, Advs. for
D-5 & 6.
Mr. Aman Gupta, Mr. Anup
Kashyap and Mr. Divyam
Khandani, Advs. for D-7 &
8.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

12.12.2025

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.31264/2025 (by defendant no. 8 u/S 5 of limitation
Act r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 35**



days in filing the reply to plaintiffs application u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

I.A.No.31265/2025 (by defendant no. 7 u/S 5 of limitation Act r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 35 days in filing the reply to plaintiffs application u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

I.A.No.31275/2025 (by defendant no. 7 u/S 5 of limitation Act r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 35 days in filing the reply to plaintiffs application u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

I.A.No.31276/2025 (by defendant no. 8 u/S 5 of limitation Act r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 35 days in filing the reply to plaintiffs application u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC)

1. The matter has been taken up today on filing of the captioned IAs.
2. Issue notice.
3. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff accepts notice of the captioned IAs and insists only for cost as the sufficient reason for delay has not been explained.
4. Heard. I have gone through the record.
5. It is settled law that technicalities of law should not come in the way of justice and endeavour of court should be to decide the lis on merits. Hence, considering the submissions made in the application, the delay in filing replies on behalf of the defendants in Stay application is condoned subject to cost of Rs.1,000/- in each application to be paid to the plaintiff within four weeks.
6. Plaintiff may file rejoinder to the replies filed on behalf of defendants within two weeks thereafter.
7. Defendants shall take appropriate steps for bringing/re-filing the aforesaid replies on record.



8. The captioned IAs are disposed off accordingly.

CS(OS) 736/2024

9. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on the date already fixed i.e. **13th January, 2026.**

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
DECEMBER 12, 2025/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any