



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12730/2024

PRATAP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Subhash Chandran K.R.,
Ms. Krishna L.R. & Mr. Anirudh K.P.,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Avtaar Singh Deol, SPC for
UOI with Mr. Devendra Kumar, ITBP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER

%

13.11.2025

1. Mr. Deol, learned SPC for the respondents raises objection to maintainability of the writ petition on the ground that the proceedings in this case took place outside Delhi.

2. This issue is covered by the judgment of the Supreme Court in ***Abrar Ali v CISF***¹ wherein it has been held that if the Headquarters of the Paramilitary Forces are in Delhi, the writ petition would lie in Delhi.

3. Accordingly, the objection of maintainability is rejected.

4. Issue notice to show cause as to why rule *nisi* be not issued.

¹ Order dated 24 August 2012 passed in **Civil Appeal No. 6020/2012**



5. Notice is accepted on behalf of the respondents by Mr. Deol.
6. Counter affidavit, if any, may be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned Counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereof.
7. This writ petition challenges compulsory retirement of the petitioner.
8. List before the Joint Registrar on 23 January 2026.
9. After the completion of pleadings, the learned Joint Registrar would re-notify the matter before the Court for disposal in the Supplementary List.
10. In the meanwhile, both sides are directed to place on record short notes of their respective submissions not exceeding four pages each, with cross reference to relevant PDF page numbers, after exchanging copies with each other at least a week in advance of the next date of hearing before this Court.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/rjd