



\$~102

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 1585/2025, CM APPL. 52168/2025 & CM APPL. 52169/2025
SATISH KISHAN KARPEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishabh Jain, Advocate

versus

SHALU GOYALRespondent
Through: Advocate (appearance not given)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **22.08.2025**

CM APPL. 52169/2025 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM(M) 1585/2025 & CM APPL. 52168/2025

1. Present petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, challenges order dated 19.07.2025.
2. It seems that when the suit in question was taken up by the learned Trial Court, there was a request that matter can be amicably settled and the parties wanted the matter to be referred for mediation. However, the plaintiff was of the view that reference be made only after the issues were settled and it was in the aforesaid backdrop that matter was fixed for 04.10.2025 for settlement of issues and, simultaneously, defendant was also directed to appear in person.
3. Challenge to the aforesaid order has been made by the defendant.
4. However, during course of arguments, it has been reiterated by learned counsel for the petitioner/defendant that he is interested in finding amicable solution of the matter.



5. Learned counsel for respondent/plaintiff, who has also joined the proceedings through *videoconferencing* on advance notice, has no objection to the same.
6. In view of the above, the parties are referred to mediation and are requested to report to learned Organizing Secretary, Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre on 28.08.2025.
7. Let outcome of mediation proceedings be awaited for 24.09.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 22, 2025/dr/pb