



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA(COMM) 492/2025, CM APPL. 51737/2025, CM APPL.
51738/2025, CM APPL. 51739/2025, CM APPL. 64632/2025 & CM
APPL. 64633/2025

MANINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sriharsha Peechara, Mr. Subhro
Prokas Mukherjee, Mr. Akshat
Kulshreshtra Mr. Soumit Ganguli &
Mohd. Adil Khan, Advocates.

versus

SWAROOP SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

14.10.2025

1. We have heard learned counsel for appellant at length.
2. The case of appellant is based upon the factual background, viz., the non-service of emails to appellant.
3. It appears that respondent/original plaintiff was subjected to cross-examination, and cross-examination reflects of witness being confronted about the contents of the e-mail.
4. In the cross-examination of appellant/defendant, a question was put as regards whether the e-mail was received or not. It is specifically claimed that appellant/defendant never received any e-mail sent by respondent/plaintiff with respect to extra work carried out by plaintiff.



5. Issue notice to respondent, on steps being taken by appellant through all permissible modes, returnable on 21st January 2026.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025/sm/bp