



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 617/2006**

MRIDUL AGGARWAL Plaintiff

Through: Plaintiff in person.
versus

PRABHA DEVI GUPTA & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Mukul, LR-ii of D-2.
Mr. Aayush Agarwala, Adv. for D-3.

CORAM:

**SH. ASHISH AGGARWAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **17.02.2021**

Today is the day fixed for physical hearing in the court.

IA No.8734/2020 (u/O 22 R 4 CPC r/w Sec.151 CPC on behalf of plaintiff for bringing on record the LRs of defendant no. 1)

The plaintiff, who appears in person, submits that this application is pending. The said application is not on the physical file.

The reader has informed the court that the application may have been electronically filed and as per the existing practice in the registry, they are not keeping a hard copy of such applications on the judicial file. Although this is in the domain of the registry as per the directions given by the administrative head, it may be appropriate that a physical copy of the applications and documents filed electronically are also placed on the file so that the record of the case is complete, more so since the courts may reopen for regular physical hearings in the near future and at that time, the electronic portfolio records may not be available for simultaneous viewing.

The application is retrieved from the electronic record.



By the application, the legal representatives of deceased defendant no.1 are sought to be brought on record. The plaintiff submits that it is an inadvertent error and she intends to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased defendant no.2, Mr. Piyush. She further submits that defendant no.1 had already expired and her legal representatives are already on record. She further submits that defendant no.2 Mr. Piyush had expired on 17.06.2020. She submits that she intends to bring on record the names of his wife Smt. Anshu, son Mr. Mukul and daughter Ms. Deepali. Mr. Mukul, the proposed legal representative of deceased defendant no.2 is present in person in court. Ms. Deepali had appeared on 29.09.2020. Both of them are at liberty to file reply to this application.

Mr. Mukul submits that he will file reply within four weeks. He submits that Ms. Anshu is residing at WZ-68, Meenakshi Garden, New Delhi. Let notice of this application be issued for service upon Ms. Anshu at the said address. She is also at liberty to file reply within four weeks of the service of the said application.

Learned counsel for defendant no.3 Ms. Manisha Gupta submits that he does not wish to file reply and has no objection to this application being allowed. His submission is recorded.

The plaintiff submits that Mr. Mukul may be asked to disclose the e-mail ID of Smt. Anshu. Mr. Mukul submits that he does not have the said e-mail ID. That being so, such direction cannot be passed.

Put up on **20.09.2021**.

(ASHISH AGGARWAL)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 17, 2021/cl