



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 725/2024**

CNR No. : DLHC010591922024

YASHPAL TYAGI AND ORS

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bidhuri, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

HIMADRI SHEKHAR AND ORS

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Shaily Pal, Adv. for D-1 & 2
with D-2 in person (appeared
through VC)
Mr. Akshay Sharma, Adv. for D-3
Ms. Sucharita Ghosh, Adv. for
D-4 & 5 (appeared through VC)
Mr. Vishal Saxena & Ms.
Deepakshi Jain, Adv. for D-6
Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sharma, Mr.
Utkarsh Kumar Singh & Mr.
Ashish Chaubey, Adv. for D-10
to 17 (Mobile No. 9795792528)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL

%

ORDER
28.07.2026

**I.A.No.2054/2026 (under Order VII Rule 11 CPC filed
on behalf of defendant nos.1 & 2) &**

**I.A.No.2055/2026 (seeking condonation of delay in
filing IA No.2054/2026)**

1. As per the office note, the reply to IA No. 20554/2026
has been filed. However, the same has been returned



under office objections.

2. Accordingly, the plaintiff is directed to coordinate with the Registry, have the office objections removed and get the reply placed on record.
3. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks from the date of removal of the office objections.

CS(OS) 725/2024

4. The written statements on behalf of Defendant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 are already on record, as recorded vide order dated 19.12.2025. The written statements on behalf of Defendant Nos. 10 to 17 are also on record.
5. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 6 submits that Defendant No. 6 does not intend to file any written statement and is supporting the case of the plaintiff.
6. No replication has been filed till date.
7. Vide order dated 23.03.2026, it was noticed that Defendant No. 8 had been served through WhatsApp, as a double tick was reflected. However, since the WhatsApp delivery status report had not been placed on record, the concerned branch was directed to place the same on record within one week.
8. As per the office note, a report has now been filed stating that the WhatsApp message was delivered on 28.02.2026. However, the message has not been read, as no blue tick is reflected. A copy of the WhatsApp delivery status has also been placed on record by the Registry.
9. In view of the office note and the material placed on record, this Court is not satisfied that Defendant No. 8 has been duly served.



10. Accordingly, let fresh steps be taken within one week from today for effecting service upon Defendant No. 8 through all permissible modes.
11. Dasti service is also permitted, as prayed for.
12. List for report of service, completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **11.12.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 28, 2026/nk