



\$~O-69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 547/2025 and I.A. 20102/2025**

PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Tanmaya Mehta, Mr. Arpit Dwivedi, Mr. Manoranjan Sharma, Mr. Saqib Ansari, Mr. Yatharth Chugh and Ms. Zinneha Mehta, Advs.

versus

MRS. PREMLATA BHATIA & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Nikhil Singhvi, Mr. Soham Krishan Luthra, Mr. Sanyam Kumar and Mr. Bilal Ikram, Advs for D-1, 2 and 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% 19.08.2025

1. There does not seem to be any steps taken by the plaintiff after 2006 and 2011 as can be seen from the List of Dates (LoD).
2. Mr. Tanmaya Mehta, learned counsel for the plaintiff, however, explains that necessary averments have been made in the plaint.
3. If that be so, the plaintiff ought to have placed a comprehensive LoD indicating those steps.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the Court rejects the LoD which has been placed by the plaintiff and grants liberty to the plaintiff to place on record a fresh LoD. Let the same be done within one week.
5. Thereafter, once the aforesaid exercise is carried out, let the Registry



to list the matter before the Court.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 19, 2025

aks/mj