



\$~161

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12446/2025**

**KUBER SHREE JAIN (MINOR) REPRESENTED THROUGH
GUARDIAN SANJAY JAINPetitioner**

Through: Ms. Kavya Jhavar, Adv.

versus

BHAI PARMANAND VIDYA MANDIR & ORS.Respondents

**Through: Mr. Sahni, Adv. for R-1
Ms. Chandrika Sachdev, Adv. for
GNCTD
Ms. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Mr.
Mukul, Adv. for R-2**

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

**ORDER
28.07.2026**

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers :-
“a) direct the respondents to add surname to the name of the petitioner and bring it in line with other Government documents, and...”
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner was born on 13.09.2009 and was issued a birth certificate by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi in the name of “Kuber Shree Jain”, with her mother’s and father’s name being stated as Mrs. Aruna and Mr. Sanjay Jain respectively.
3. It is the case of the petitioner that name of the petitioner in the school



record only shows “Kuber Shree” and the surname of the petitioner i.e., “Jain” is missing in the records.

4. The parents of the petitioner addressed several communications requesting for addition of the surname to the name of the petitioner but to no avail. Hence, the present petition has been filed.
5. Mr. Sahni, learned counsel appears on behalf of the respondent No. 1 and has no objection in addition of the surname.
6. Ms. Sachdeva, learned counsel for the respondent No. 2, states that there is no provision for change in the name of the students in the module and hence, the request of the petitioner cannot be accepted.
7. My attention has been drawn to Annexure P-1 of the petition which is a birth certificate having date of registration as 03.10.2009 issued under Section 17 of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969, by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, showing the date of birth of the petitioner as 13.09.2009 and the full name of the petitioner as “Kuber Shree Jain”.
8. I have already in a similar matter of ***Nisha Ray v. Central Board Of Secondary Education & Anr. W.P.(C) 6554/2023***, by relying on the judgment of Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Jigyada Yadav v. CBSE (2021) 7 SCC 535***, reiterated the holding of the Hon’ble Apex Court that there can be only one person with a particular name and Date of Birth, and reconciliation of such discrepancies to make it consistent with other documents will cause no prejudice to respondents. Hence, the same must be allowed.
9. In the present case, the discrepancy in particulars i.e., the surname of the petitioner is apparent from a bare perusal of the Birth Certificate



(Annexure P-1) and is also supported by his Aadhar Card.

10. I am of the view that in case the petition is not allowed, the petitioner would be having inconsistent particulars i.e., discrepancy in his surname, one shown in public documents like birth certificate and Aadhar card, and the other reflected in his academic records.
11. Therefore, there is no controversy that the name of the petitioner is “Kuber Shree Jain”, which is supported by the birth certificate and the Aadhaar Card of the petitioner.
12. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 shall make necessary changes and issue appropriate/ fresh certificates to the petitioner including the change in the Class Xth marksheet.
13. The records shall be modified accordingly and the original mark sheet of Class X shall be surrendered by the petitioner at the time of issuance of the fresh/corrected mark sheet.
14. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JULY 28, 2026/sp