



\$~10

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 849/2025

YMI GHAR SOAPS PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Subhash Bhutoria (VC),
Ms. Anuja Negi, Advocates

versus

ASHOK KUMAR TRADING AS BENDIST EXPORT
HAMARE GHAR KA SOAPS & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Srishti Dhoundiyal,
Mr. Gyanendra Rathour (VC),
Advocates for D-12

Mr. Angad Baxi (VC), Advocate
for D-13 & 14.

Mr. Abhay Aren, Advocate for
D-15

Ms. Nidhi Raman (CGSC),
Mr. Arnav Mittal (GP), Advocate
for D-16

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

%

15.11.2025

I.A. No. 24139/2025 on behalf of defendant no. 13, Flipkart, India Pvt. Ltd. seeking deletion from the array of parties, under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.

1. Heard on the application. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed. Defendant no. 13, Flipkart India Pvt. Ltd. is deleted from the array of parties. **IA stands disposed off.**



I.A. No. 24140/2025 on behalf of defendant no. 14, Flipkart Internet Pvt. LLP seeking deletion from the array of parties.

3. Reply has not been filed on behalf of the plaintiff. Plaintiff is granted two more weeks to file a reply. Put up again.

I.A. No. 20092/2025 on behalf of the plaintiff seeking discovery and production of the defendants documents under Order XI Rule 1 CPC.

4. Reply is not on record on behalf of defendant nos. 12, 14 and 15 to whom the notices in the present IA were issued. However, reply is stated to have been filed on behalf of defendant no. 14. The same is under objections.

5. Defendant nos. 14 & 15 have supplied necessary information to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff. Reply, however, is yet to be filed on behalf of defendant nos. 14 & 15. Let the same be now filed within four weeks. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that certain more information is required from defendant no. 14.

6. Defendant no. 12 has neither supplied any information nor has filed a reply to the present IA. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 12 seeks and is granted four weeks more to file a reply. It is made clear that failure to adhere the timelines to file a reply shall entail costs. Put up again.

I.A. No. 20090/2025 on behalf of the plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking inter alia ex-parte ad-interim injunction against the defendants.

**&
CS(COMM) 849/2025**

7. Summons were accepted on behalf of defendant nos. 12, 14 & 15 on 19.08.2025. Summons however have yet not been



issued to defendant nos. 11, 12 & 15.

8. Amended memo of parties in regard to defendant nos. 1 to 10 and 15 has been filed.

9. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that steps have been taken for service of defendant nos. 1 to 10. So far as defendant no. 15 is concerned, its period to file the written statement shall commence from the date amended memo has been placed on record by the Registry.

10. Written statement has been filed only on behalf of defendant no. 14. Replication thereto be filed as per rules.

11. Amended memo of parties pursuant to deletion of defendant no. 13 be now filed within four weeks.

12. Matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court for 25.11.2025. Be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 25.11.2025, for further directions.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

NOVEMBER 15, 2025/sk