



\$~O-16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 2170/2006, I.A. 12980/2006, I.A. 464/2008, I.A. 8273/2008,
I.A. 15013/2009 and I.A. 34450/2024
KUMARI SEHEJ GUPTA & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Sachin Saini, Advocate.

versus

SHRI M.L. GUPTA & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Rikky Gupta with Ms. Ananya
Singh, Advocates for D3.
Mr. S. Debabrata Reddy, Advocate
for D5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **21.08.2025**

1. The reply to I.A. 34450/2024 seems to be lying under objection. Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiffs submits that deliberate dilatory tactics are being attempted to delay the matter.
2. List on 22.09.2025.
3. Let the defendant to either rectify the defect, or to re-file the reply within two days, with an advance copy to the learned counsel for the plaintiffs.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 21, 2025

tr/sp