



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 1780/2006

DIWAN CHAND ANAND

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Tarun Agarwal, Adv.

versus

SHRI DEEP CHAND ANAND AND OTHERS

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Geetika Panwar and Dr. Ishaan
Swarana Sharma, Advs. for LR's of D-
1

Mr. Sarfaraz Ahmad and Mr. Yuvraj
Sharma, Advs. for D-12, 14, 14A, 21,
22, 23, 36, 37, 38 & 50

Mr. Aditya Garg, Adv. for LR's of D-
34

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

06.02.2026

I.A. 10359/2006 (filed by plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

1. Learned counsel for plaintiff submitted that this application is still pending.

I.A. 13697/2006 (filed by D-14 under Order 1 Rule 10 to strike out certain defendants from the array of parties)

2. Put up for clarification regarding completion of pleadings in this application.

I.A. 13698/2006 (filed by D-14 under Order XXXIX Rule 1 CPC for temporary injunction)

3. Put up for clarification regarding completion of pleadings in this application.



I.A. 13699/2006 (filed by D-14 under Section 151 CPC seeking exemption from filing original documents)

4. Reply filed by plaintiff.
5. Put up for completion of pleadings on next date of hearing.

I.A. 904/2007 (filed by plaintiff under Order I Rule 10 & under Order XXII Rule 4 CPC)

6. Reply filed by defendant no. 3.
7. Let reply be filed on behalf of other defendants within four weeks, with advance copy to the opposite party and rejoinder thereto, if any, may also be filed within two weeks with copy to opposite party.
8. Put up for completion of pleadings on next date of hearing.

I.A. 9769/2007 (filed by D-2 under Order VII Rule 11 CPC)

&

I.A. 9770/2007 (filed by D-1 under Order VII Rule 11 CPC)

&

I.A. 10104/2007 (filed by D-3 under Order VII Rule 11 CPC)

9. Reply filed. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, may also be filed within three weeks with copy to opposite party.

I.A. 12625/2010 (filed by plaintiff under Section 151 CPC for direction to Registry to place on record documents)

10. The application has already been **disposed of** vide order dated 22.09.2010.



I.A. 6945/2011 (filed by applicant/one of the LRs of plaintiff under Section 5 seeking condonation of 80 days delay in filing I.A. 9853/2011 u/o 22 R 3 CPC)

11. **Disposed of** being infructuous as the main I.A. 9853/2011 has been disposed of on 02.08.2013.

I.A. 11500/2022 (filed by LRs of defendant no. 34 under Order XXII Rule 4 CPC for the impleadment of LRs of D-34)

12. Reply has been filed by plaintiff. Other parties at liberty to file reply, if any within two weeks with copy to opposite party.

13. Put up for arguments on next date of hearing.

I.A. 19882/2022 (filed by one of the LRs of plaintiff Smt. Rashmi Saraswat under Order XII Rule 6 CPC)

14. Reply not filed. Let reply be filed within three weeks, with advance copy to the opposite party and rejoinder thereto, if any, may also be filed within two weeks thereafter with copy to opposite party.

15. Put up for completion of pleadings on next date of hearing.

CS(OS) 1780/2006

16. As per previous ordersheet, defendant no. 49 had already been expired and learned counsel for plaintiff submitted that he had already moved an application in this regard, but same is not yet listed.

17. Learned counsel for LRs of defendant no.1 appeared and submits that she is recently engaged and will take the appropriate steps regarding defendant no. 49.



18. Put up for clarification regarding service of remaining defendants/completion of pleadings in accordance with law on 27.04.2026.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 6, 2026/tp