



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **LA.APP. 360/2022**
UNION OF INDIAAppellant
Through: Mr. S.S. Siddiqui, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate
versus

SMT LAXMI DEVI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Standing Counsel
For R-5/MCD (through vc)

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER
% **27.03.2025**

CM APPL. 31447/2024 [u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC moved by R-5/MCD seeking impleadment of PWD as R-6]

1. Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and proposed respondent No.6/PWD have been served.
2. Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance for proposed respondent No.6/PWD.
3. However, none is present for respondent Nos.1 to 4 despite service.
4. Let reply be filed by the non-applicants within six weeks.
5. Rejoinder, if any, be filed before the next date.
6. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

LA.APP. 360/2022

7. Parties to comply with directions contained in para 6 and 6.1 of order dated 12.02.2025 within six weeks.



7. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

MARCH 27, 2025

vk

REGISTRAR