



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 360/2022**

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing Counsel with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. MS Akhtar, Advts.

versus

SMT LAXMI DEVI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Standing Counsel with Mr. Ketan Kumar Roy, Adv. for R-5

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

12.02.2025

%

1. The report of the Registry is that the process fee is under objection.

1.1 On steps being taken, let process fee be filed within a week.

CM APPL. 31447/2024 [For impleadment]

2. This is an Application filed by Respondent No.5/MCD seeking impleadment of Public Works Department (PWD) as a Respondent in the present Appeal. Notice in this Application was issued by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 24.05.2024.

3. The report of the Registry is that Notice could not be served since process fee was not filed.

3.1 On steps being taken, let fresh Notice be issue to PWD and remaining Respondents.

3.2 Affidavit of service be filed within a period of two weeks.



4. Reply(ies), if any, be filed within a period of three weeks.
- 4.1 Rejoinder(s), if any, be filed within a period of one week thereafter.
5. List before the concerned Registrar for completion of service and pleadings on 27.03.2025.

LA.APP. 360/2022

6. Both parties seek and are granted time to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least one week before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.
- 6.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.
7. List before the concerned Registrar for completion of service and pleadings on 27.03.2025.
8. The parties shall act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2025/jn

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)