



\$~64.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 189/2024**

MAHESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Bhardwaj, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Avni Singh and Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advs. for GNCTD.

Mr. Tushar Sannu, Mr. Parvin Bansal, Advs. for DJB.

Mr. Siddhant Nath, SC, MCD with Mr. Bhavishya Makhija and Mr. Aman Khan, Advs. for MCD.

Mr. Shreeyash U. Lalit, Ms. Ishita Khurana, Mr. Tyagi, Mr. Aviral Mishra, Mr. Angad Pahel and Mr. Himanshu Vats, Advs. for R-13 to R-15.

Mr. Akhil Hasija, Mr. Rohan Mishra and Ms. Mahima Singh Kanwar, Advocates for the Respondent – BSES

Mr. Krishnagopal Abhay, Mr. Rinku, Advs. for applicant in C.M. No. 14705/2026.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

25.03.2026

CM APPL. 18663/2026

1. This is an application moved by certain individuals who have sought their impleadment. The prayer made in the application is for early hearing,



however, what we notice is that the writ petition was disposed of by means of an order dated 08.01.2024. A coordinate Bench of this Court, while disposing of the writ petition had directed the Chairman, STF (Vice-Chairman, DDA) to treat the present writ petition as a representation and to decide the same by issuing appropriate direction/order(s) within four weeks. The matter was ordered to be listed for reporting compliance on 14.03.2024.

2. We have been informed that in compliance of the order dated 08.01.2024, the Chairman, STF has taken cognizance of the issue. We have further been informed that the aggrieved parties have taken recourse to their legal remedies which were available to them in law.

3. In view of the aforesaid, nothing survives for continuance of the proceedings of this writ petition.

4. It is needless to observe that the parties will be at liberty to take legal recourse to remedies which may be available to them under law before the appropriate forum/ Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that the issue relating to illegal encroachment was taken to the appellate authority under the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957, which has remitted the matter back to the competent authority. He states that he may be permitted to move an application to seek his impleadment before the competent authority.

6. At this juncture, learned counsel representing the MCD has stated that after the matter was remanded back by the appellate authority of the MCD to the competent authority, the competent authority has passed a final order on 03.03.2025.

7. In the aforesaid view of the matter, we provide that if anyone still feels aggrieved by the said final order, he shall be at liberty to take legal



recourse to the remedies which may be available to him under law.

8. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

CM APPL. 8735/2025

9. In view of the orders passed hereinabove in CM APPL. 18663/2026, this application stands disposed of.

10. The date already fixed 08.07.2026 stands cancelled.

W.P.(C) 189/2024

11. The matter need not be listed again before the Court.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 25, 2026

N.Khanna