



\$~119

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 12388/2025 & CM APPL. 50549/2025

BHONGIR COLLEGE OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER
EDUCATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Kishore and Ms. Jyoti
Jyotsana, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Samridhdy Jindal and Ms.
Aishwarya Malhotra, Advs. for
NCTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **18.08.2025**

1. The present petition has been filed seeking following reliefs:

“(a) Pass an appropriate order, direction or writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, quashing the impugned appeal order issued vide File No. 89-137/E-364758/2025 Appeal/6th Meeting, 2025 APPLSRC202214501/ E-81289 dated 24.06.2025 passed by the respondent No.1/NCTE and consequently quash the withdrawal Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/APSO2804/D.Ed./TS/2022/137246/ F.SRO/ NCTE/ SRCAPP1290/ D.El.Ed.-A.I./TS/2022/ 137246 dated 22.11.2022 passed by the respondent No. 2-SRC, in the interest of justice and in the facts and circumstances of the present case and consequently;

(b) Pass an appropriate order, direction or writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents to restore the recognition granted to the petitioner/institution vide



Order dated 29.08.2012 with all consequential benefits for conducting for conducting D.Ed., D.El.Ed.-AI course, in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice and or;

(c) direct the Respondents to consequently update its website by displaying the recognised status of the Petitioner Institution”

2. The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is against the impugned order dated 22.11.2022 passed by the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE whereby recognition granted to petitioner college was withdrawn. Thereafter, the appeal was preferred by the petitioner college against the said order which also came to be dismissed by the learned Appellate Authority *vide* impugned order dated 24.06.2025.

3. Mr. Sumit Kishore, learned counsel for petitioner invites attention of the Court to the impugned order dated 22.11.2022 passed by SRC, NCTE to contend that it has been wrongly mentioned therein that the notice was given to the petitioner college, and it did not submit its reply.

4. He submits that the first notice as referred to in para 3 of the said impugned order was never received by the petitioner college, possibly for the reason that the address of the petitioner's institution had changed from Nalgonda District-508233 in Andhra Pradesh to Nalgonda District-508116 in Telangana.

5. He further submits that even the final show cause notice which is referred to in para 4 of impugned order of SRC-NCTE was received belatedly.

6. He further submits that the ground on which the impugned order has been predicated is that no reply to final show cause notice dated 08.09.2022 was submitted by petitioner college nor did petitioner college had filed



Performance Appraisal Report (PAR).

7. He contends that reply to final show cause notice was given by the petitioner but the same was given belatedly inasmuch as the notice was received only in the month of November 2024 on account of change of address.

8. Insofar as non-filing of Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is concerned, Mr. Kishore submits that the said appraisal report was submitted by the petitioner.

9. In support of his contention, Mr. Kishore invites attention of the Court to Annexure P-8 i.e. PAR for the academic session 2020-21 having PAR application code P2021006346. He further invites attention of the Court to Annexures P-16 and P-17 to submit that the PAR for the year 2021-22 and 2022-23 was also furnished by the petitioner college.

10. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Hardik Rupal, learned counsel for the respondent/NCTE accepts notice.

11. Let counter-affidavit be filed within a period of one week. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of one week thereafter.

12. List on 16.10.2025.

13. In the meanwhile, in view of the submissions made hereinabove, which *prima facie* appears to have substance and further regard being had to the fact that in somewhat similar matters relating to non-submission of PAR, the interim relief has already been granted by this Court in W.P.(C) 9718/2025, it is directed that the operation of the impugned withdrawal order passed by the respondent/SRC-NCTE, as well as, the appellate authority shall remain stayed till the next date and the petitioner is permitted to participate in the counselling and to admit the students in the academic



session 2025-26. This may be done by the NCTE latest by 25.08.2025.

14. It is further directed that respondent/NCTE will issue a necessary public notice and upload the name of institute on its website thereby intimating all concerned, including State authority, affiliating authorities and counselling authorities that operation of the impugned withdrawal order/decisions in respect of the petitioner institute has been stayed by this Court and the institute has been permitted to participate in the counselling and admit the students for the academic session 2025-2026, which shall be complied with. This may be done by the NCTE latest by 25.08.2025.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/dss