



\$~5
* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 631/2005

SATISH VERMA Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Naresh Gupta, Mr. Swastik Singh,
Mr. Himanshu Dagar and Mr. Utkarsh
Goel Advs. (mobile no 9717419767

versus

JEETINDRA CHURAMANI AND ANR. Defendant

Through: Mr. Arjun Dewan, Adv. (mobile no.
9810700027)

Proceeding – 02:05 PM to 02:40 PM

Evidence recorded – 02:10 PM to 02:30 PM

Total Pages - three Pages

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

%

ORDER
01.02.2019

DW-1 Smt. Veena Churmani cross-examined and discharged.

No other witness on behalf of defendant present, produced or summoned. The learned counsel for defendant submitted that he also wants to examine defendant no.1. It is further pointed out by learned counsel for defendant that list of witnesses (one official witness from the office of DC, Saket and defendant no. 1 and 2 sought to be examined) had been filed on behalf of defendant on 29.10.2018 and re-filed on 14.11.2018 and defendant wish to examine defendant no. 1



Mr. Jeetindra Churamani while relying upon the judgment in Civil Appeal no. 4379 of 1983 (decided on 03.08.1983) titled as Mange Ram Vs. Brij Mohan and Others (1983) 4 Supreme Court Cases 36 which is strongly opposed by learned counsel for plaintiff and my attention has been drawn to the opportunity given to the defendant vide order dated 24.09.2018 and above stated list of witnesses had been filed in contrary to the order and further attention has been drawn vide order dated 11.12.2012.

Under these circumstances put up for further proceedings on 08.02.2019. Registry to report why list of witnesses placed on record without considering the order dated 24.09.2018 in which direction were given to defendant to file appropriate application, in case, the fresh list of witnesses filed on behalf of the defendant.

RAKESH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 01, 2019
NR