



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 235/2024, CM APPL. 64052/2024

BRIJ MOHAN BATTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Himanshu Lilawi, Advocate

versus

TARA CHAND GARG & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

13.11.2025

%

1. Since there is no appearance on behalf of the respondents despite being duly served, they are proceeded *ex-parte* and their right to file the reply is closed.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and is granted, a period of *two weeks* for reverting with submissions *qua* the aspect of *bona fide requirement* of the subject premises by the petitioner/ landlord, since the aspects of *landlord-tenant relationship* and unavailability of *alternative accommodation* has already been decided in his favour.

3. Accordingly, renotify on 12.01.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/So