

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 946/2012 & I.As. 6467/2012, 7679/2013, 12136/2014,
12467/2014, 12476/2014 & 20170/2015

SURENDER KUMAR BAJAJ Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shubhendu Kaushik, Advocate.

versus

SATISH KUMAR BAJAJ & OTHERS Defendants

Through: Mr. Manashwy Jha, Advocate for D-
1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

25.03.2019

1. Both the learned counsels are unable to answer the questions of the Court. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff in fact states that he does not have a *vakalatnama* in his favour and he would file the same shortly. Learned counsel for the Defendant on the other hand, refers to the order dated 14th January 2016 wherein it is noted that an appeal is pending before the Division Bench and hearing in the present suit has been adjourned in view of the said pending appeal. Learned counsel for the Defendant however is not able to explain the nature of the appeal or the likely impact of the said pendency on the present suit.

2. In the interest of justice, list on 18th July 2019. Both the counsels are

advised to be prepared in the matter to render full assistance to the Court.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MARCH 25, 2019

nk