



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 865/2023**
RASHMI BAHRI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Satyam Arora, Adv. (Through
VC)

versus

SUNIL SACHDEVA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Attin Shankar Rastogi, Mr. Aman
Kapoor, Advs. for D1
Mr. Anirudh Agrawal, Mr. Anirudh
Wadhwa, Mr. Aditya Wadhwa, Advs.
for D2 (Through VC)

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **16.08.2024**

I.A. No. 26049/2023(u/O XXXIX R 1 & 2)

Reply has already been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 & 3.

No reply has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 2. Learned counsel for defendant no. 2 submitted that he does not want to file any reply. No rejoinder is to be filed.

Therefore, pleadings are complete.

CS(OS) 865/2023

Pleadings qua defendant no. 1 complete. Learned counsel for plaintiff stated that he had filed replication qua the written statement of defendant no. 1 in accordance with law. However, as per learned counsel for defendant no.



1 replication filed by the plaintiff qua the written statement of defendant no. 1 is beyond the limitation period. Let plaintiff take appropriate steps in this regard.

Written statement has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 2 and replication qua written statement of defendant no. 2 is lying under objections.

Written statement filed on behalf of defendant no. 3 is lying under objections. As per learned counsel for plaintiff, he does not wish to file any replication qua the written statement of defendant no. 3.

At request, of learned counsel for defendant no. 1, let copy of the replication qua his written statement be supplied to him, on sharing the necessary particulars.

Let pleadings be completed in accordance with law.

At joint request, put up before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 22.08.2024 for further directions.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 16, 2024/sms

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)