

19
06.11.2001

Present : Mr.Mohit Mathur for the petitioner.
Ms.Santosh Kohli for the State.

Crl.R.Nos.307, 308, 309, 310 & 311/1983:

These criminal revisions are directed against a common judgment and order dated 1-12-1983 of the Additional Sessions Judge dismissing Crl.A. Nos.58-62/1983 which was filed against the order of the Metropolitan Magistrate, Delhi, holding the petitioners guilty under Section 7/16 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act and further sentencing them to undergo R.I. for one year with a fine of Rs.1100/- each and in default of payment of fine to further undergo R.I. for three months.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the sanction was bad inasmuch as the same has been obtained in a cyclostyled form. He relies upon a judgment of the High Court in (State) v. Delhi Administration Vs. Shyam Lal, All India Prevention of Food Adulteration Journal, 583, where upon a concession made by Mr.Lao who agrees that the sanction was not proper. The appeal was dismissed. In the present case, I find that this point has not been urged before the courts below and even otherwise I find that the sanction is in order and suffers from no infirmity.

Having gone through the matter, at length and have carefully analysed the record of the case as also the judgment under challenge I find that the judgment under challenge suffers from no infirmity, perversity,

15
impropriety, illegality or jurisdictional error.

Crl.R.Nos.307, 308, 309, 310 & 311 are
dismissed.

The appellants who are on bail shall
surrender to serve out the remaining portion of their
sentence.

November 06, 2001.
bp.


[R.S. Sodhi]
Judge.