



2024-09-11 15:06

\$~8&9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 770/2022**
BOMBAY METALS PVT LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Ms. Prashansha
Singh & Ms. Diksha Tekriwal,
Advocates.

Versus

TARA SINGH AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Rajput, Advocate for R-1A
& R-1B.
Mr. Syed Abdul Haseeb, Advocate for
R-2.

AND

+ **C.O.(COMM.IPD-CR) 17/2024**
BOMBAY METAL WORKS (P) LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Ms. Prashansha
Singh & Ms. Diksha Tekriwal,
Advocates.

Versus

TARA SINGH PROP. R.S. INDUSTRIES (REGD)
AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Rajput, Advocate for R-1A
& R-1B.
Mr. Syed Abdul Haseeb, Advocate for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **03.12.2025**

1. *Vide* order dated 01.09.2025 both Parties were directed to file their respective Written Submissions along with the documents and cases laws on which they wish to rely upon within a period of four weeks from the date of order.



2. While the learned Counsel for the Petitioner has filed the Written Submissions, the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1A and 1B have not filed the same.
3. The learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1A and 1B submitted that despite the Respondent Nos. 1A and 1B not filing the Written Submissions, he is willing to argue the matters. Accordingly, the arguments were heard in these Petitions.
4. At this stage, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner as well as the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1A and 1B request that they be allowed to file Note of Arguments in support of oral submissions made by them.
5. Accordingly, the Petitioner as well as the Respondent Nos. 1A and 1B shall file their respective Note of Arguments along with the case laws on which they wish to rely upon within a period of one week.
6. List for further directions on 17.12.2025.

TEJAS KARIA, J

DECEMBER 3, 2025

‘gsr’