



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 66/2024**

CAMPUS ACTIVEWEAR LIMITED

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Zeeshan Hashim and Mr. Ankit
Parashar, Advs.

versus

THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS & ANR.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr.
Zubin Singh, Adv. for R-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

02.09.2024

I.A. 37978/2024-Exp

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 66/2024

3. By virtue of the present appeal under Section 91 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 and rule 156 of the Trade Marks Rules, 2017, the appellant seeks to challenge the impugned order 16.05.2024 passed by the respondent no.1 as well as cancellation of trade mark no. 4431495 granted to the respondent no.2.
4. Issue notice.
5. Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC, learned counsel for the respondent no.1 accepts notice. She seeks, and is granted a period of six weeks to file a reply/ written synopsis not exceeding five pages giving a chronological list



of dates, events and documents, if any, alongwith relevant duly highlighted judgments which they wish to rely upon.

6. Similarly, learned counsel for the appellant seeks, and is granted a period of four weeks to file a reply/ written synopsis not exceeding five pages giving a chronological list of dates, events and documents, if any, alongwith relevant duly highlighted judgments which they wish to rely upon.

7. On the appellant taking requisite steps within a period of one week, issue notice to respondent no.2 by all permissible modes, returnable on 14.01.2025.

8. Respondent no.2 shall also file its reply/ written synopsis as above within a period of six weeks. Rejoinder/ written synopsis as above, if any, within a period of four weeks.

9. Accordingly, renotify on 14.01.2025.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2024/rr