



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1934/2023**

KANWALJEET SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manmohan Singh Narula, Mr
Sarabjeet Singh, Advs.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
AND ORS.**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Beenashaw Soni (SC) with Ms.
Mansi Jain, Adv for MCD/R-1.
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel, Mr. Bhuvan
Goel, Advs. for DDA/R-4.
Mr. Ateev Mathur, Amol Sharma
Advs (through VC) for Mr. Haridutt
Vashisht and ors.
Ms. Zubeda Begum along with Ms.
Vanessa Singh, Advs for R-5/ M/s
Raaj Cinema.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

02.04.2025

%

1. As per the order of the Court, the Status Report by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi ('MCD') is on record.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was related to the unauthorized construction being carried out on the public premises adjoining House No. WZ-14 and WZ-21, which was occupied by *M/S Raj Cinema* (respondent no.5).
3. Ms. Beenashaw Soni, counsel for MCD states that there is no



construction going on the said land and there is an old dilapidated cinema building.

4. There is a set of plots in the back lane which counsel for respondent no.5, Ms. Zubeda Begum, states that they are part the said plot and there is no construction/encroachment at all in the said plots as well. Reply be filed by respondent no.5 in this regard placing on record their version along with the photographs within six weeks.

5. The same is stated by counsel appearing for respondent no.4/DDA, stating that he is personally aware of that area since his relatives reside in that area, and he states that there is no construction on that particular premises and there has not been any for the last 30 odd years.

6. Counsel for petitioner relies on representation dated 28th March 2023, however, this would not suffice. If there is any photograph of any new construction or encroachment on the said land, they may be filed by the petitioner in this regard.

7. The owner of the said property/premises represented through *Mr. Ateev Mathur, Advocate* states that they would also like to intervene in the matter, considering it's their property which is in question and they have given it on tenancy to respondent no.5.

8. Accordingly, they are permitted to file their intervention application which shall be considered in accordance with law.

9. List on 19th August 2025.

10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 2, 2025/ak/bp