



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ARB.P. 1358/2023**
M/S VINIMAY DEVELOPERS PVT LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ashok Mittal, Advocate.
versus
MR S. K. KAPUR (DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND ORS.
..... Respondents
Through: Mr. Angad Mehta, Advocate *via*
video-conferencing for R-1(f) & 1(h).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

% **ORDER**
02.04.2024

Office report indicates that all the respondents have not been served.

Learned counsel appears *via* video-conferencing on behalf of respondent No.1(f) and respondent No.1(h) and submits that he has not been served with a copy of the petition; and seeks time to file reply.

Let a copy of the petition be supplied to respondent No.1(f) and 1(h), within 01 week.

Upon the petitioner taking fresh steps, let notice be sent to the unserved respondents by all permissible modes including *dasti*, returnable for the next date.

Let the notice indicate that replies to the petition be filed within 03 weeks of service; rejoinders thereto, if any, be filed within 02 weeks thereafter; with copies to the opposing counsel.

Re-notify on 10th July 2024.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

APRIL 2, 2024/V.Rawat