



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 816/2025**
UPASANA VOHRA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Taneja and Mr.
Saurabh Yadav, Advs.

versus

BEE VITIGO & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Dipansh Mishra and Ms.
Nidhi Ahlawat, Advs for
D-2 (VC).
None for D-7

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SWATI KATIYAR

ORDER

% **21.07.2026**

CS(COMM) 816/2025 & I.A. No. 19396/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 151CPC).

1. Matter is listed for completion of pleadings.
2. Plaintiff had to trace fresh details of Defendant no.1. Ld counsel for plaintiff submits that they only have the email of defendant no.1 however, when email was sent on the said ID the same has bounced back. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that defendant no.7 may provide any further details of defendant tno.1 if available with them. However, none has appeared on behalf of defendant no.7 today.
3. No written statement has been filed by defendant no.2 to 6 despite being served on 06.01.2026 within the maximum permissible period of 120 days. Hence, the right of defendant nos. 2 to 6 to file written statement stands closed.



4. Written statement and reply to I.A. No. 19396/2025 filed on behalf of defendant no. 7 are already on record.
5. Plaintiff does not wish to file any replication or rejoinder to IA No.19396/25 filed by Defendant no.7 as recorded in order dated 27.04.26.
6. Defendant no.8 and 9 are *proforma* parties.
7. Defendant no.10 is John Doe.
8. Put up for service status of defendant no.1 / further proceedings on 24.09.2026.

**SWATI KATIYAR,
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JULY 21, 2026/bk