



\$~10

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 816/2025
UPASANA VOHRA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Wadhwa and
Ms. Supriya Sharma, Advs
(VC).

versus

BEE VITIGO & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Dipanshu Mishra,
Mr. Aadhar Nautiyal and Ms.
Shivangi Kohli, Advs for
D-2.
Mr. Debditya Saha and Mr.
Yash Karunakaran, Advs for
D-7 (VC).
Mr. Raktim Gogoi and Mr.
Kaushlendra D. Pandey,
Advs for D-8 and 9.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SWATI KATIYAR

ORDER

%

27.04.2026

I.A. No. 19398/2025 (seeking disclosure, preservation, and recovery of revenue generated through unauthorised use of plaintiff's original content).

1. This application concerns only to defendant no.7.
2. Reply filed on behalf of defendant no.7 is on record.
3. Rejoinder thereto has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that they do not wish to file any rejoinder.
4. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.7 submits that they have provided all the information to the extent available with them to the plaintiff in their reply. It is submitted that defendant no.7 has already disclosed BSI details and IP logs to the plaintiff to the



extent available so that the plaintiff can identify the individual defendants in question. It is further submitted that the identified accounts are not monetised and they have not been paid any funds by META and hence, defendant no.7 does not have any transaction related data with respect to these accounts. It is thus submitted that no further directions are required against defendant no.7 and hence, the application may be disposed off.

5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff confirms that they have been provided with BSI details and IP logs of the concerned defendants by defendant no.7 and the application may be disposed off without prejudice to the rights of plaintiff qua damages to be claimed at the appropriate stage in the present suit.

6. In view of submissions made by Ld. counsel for plaintiff, since there are no further directions to be issued qua defendant no.7, **the present application stands disposed off** without prejudice to the rights of plaintiff to be considered at the appropriate stage by the Hon'ble Court.

CS(COMM) 816/2025 & I.A. No. 19396/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 151CPC).

7. Matter is listed for completion of pleadings.

8. Perusal of record shows that plaintiff had to take fresh steps for service upon defendant no.1. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some more time to take necessary instructions qua defendant no.1, as they are still trying to locate further details of defendant no.1.

9. No written statement has been filed by defendant no.2 to 6 who have been served through email on 06.01.2026.

10. Let written statement, if any by defendant no.2 to 6 be filed as per rules and thereafter, replication, if any be filed as per rules.



11. Written statement and reply to I.A. No. 19396/2025 filed on behalf of defendant no. 7 is already on record. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that they do not wish to file any replication or rejoinder to IA No.19396/25 filed by defendant no.7.
12. Perusal of record shows that defendant nos. 8 and 9 are *proforma* party and no summons have been issued to them.
13. Defendant no.10 is John Doe.
14. Perusal of record also shows that response to compliance affidavit of defendant no. 2 has not been filed by plaintiff. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that instead of filing response to compliance affidavit, they are moving an application under Order 39 Rule 2A CPC against the defendant no.2 as compliance has not been done.
15. Heard.
16. Put up again for completion of pleadings on 21.07.2026.

**MS. SWATI KATIYAR,
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 27, 2026/bk