



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 816/2025**

UPASANA VOHRA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohit Taneja (VC),
Advocate

Versus

BEE VITIGO & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Yash Karunakaran,
Mr. Debditya Saha (VC),
Advocates for D-7

Mr. Raktim Gogoi, Mr. Kanhaiya
Singla, Advocates for D-8 & 9

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

% **24.12.2025**

I.A. 32962/2025 filed by D-7 to condone the delay of 87 days in filing the written statement and delay of 58 days in filing reply to interim application no. 19396/2025.

1. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the plaintiff. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed. Delay stands condoned. Written statement and reply of defendant no. 7 to the interim application is taken on record. Replication be filed within the period permissible, as worked out from today. **IA stands disposed off.** Defendant no. 7 is directed



to **re-file** the written statement and reply to interim application no. 19396/2025 within 2 weeks whereafter the Registry is directed to place the same on record.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

DECEMBER 24, 2025/sk