



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 1170/2025**

**M/S MONEYWISE FINANCIAL
SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ranjeet Kumar and Ms. Preeti
Kumari, Advocates**

versus

SWASTIK GENERAL STORE AND OTHERSRespondents

Through: **None.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

07.08.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter 'Act') seeking appointment of a Sole Arbitrator for the adjudication of the disputes between the parties arising out of a Loan Agreement dated 27th December, 2022 entered into between the parties in terms of which the petitioner had advanced a loan of Rs. 10,11,941/- to the respondents.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the aforesaid Agreement contains an arbitration clause, *i.e.*, Clause 8.2, which provides for adjudication of any dispute arising between the parties by way of arbitration.
3. He further submits that since the respondents failed to repay the loan amount, the petitioner sent a notice to the respondents on 11th April, 2025 through speed post and on 17th April, 2025 through email invoking the



aforesaid arbitration clause under Section 21 of the Act.

4. No reply to the aforesaid notice has been received by the petitioner on behalf of the respondents.
5. Hence, the petitioner has been constrained to approach this Court by way of the present petition.
6. None appears on behalf of the respondents despite advance service.
7. Issue Notice to the respondents through all permissible modes.
8. If the respondents wish to contest the present petition, reply(ies) may be filed within two (2) weeks from today.
9. List on 24nd September, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 7, 2025

Rzu