



\$~106

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ RFA 714/2025 CM APPL. 48125/2025, CM APPL. 48122/2025, CM APPL. 48123/2025 & CM APPL. 48127/2025, CM APPL. 48129/2025, CM APPL. 48133/2025, CM APPL. 48126/2025, CM APPL. 48124/2025, CM APPL. 48128/2025

ILAM SINGH BANSAL .....Appellant

Through: Mr. Goutham Shivshankar, Advocate (DHCLSC) alongwith appellant (in-person).

versus

CHHIDDA SINGH .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mohd. Arif, Advocate alongwith respondent *via* video-conferencing.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

**06.08.2025**

%

In the course of the submissions made by Mr. Goutham Shivshankar, learned legal-aid counsel appearing for the appellant, it transpires that at the hearing on 05.06.2025 in the execution proceedings, the appellant (son-in-law of the respondent) had represented to that court that they had settled the execution petition out of court for a sum of Rs. 20,68,783/- towards full and final satisfaction of judgment and decree dated 24.03.2025.

2. Today however, on instructions of the appellant, who is present in court, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, that the appellant was unaware of what proceedings were going on before the learned executing court; and that he had handed-over to the respondent a cheque of Rs. 4 lacs before the executing court, *subject* to his right of appeal by way of the present proceedings.



3. However, it also transpires that the cheque of Rs. 4 lacs handed-over by the appellant to the respondent at the hearing on 05.06.2025 before the learned executing court was towards an installment against the settlement amount; and the remaining settlement amount was to be paid in monthly installments of Rs. 3 lacs, as detailed in that order.
4. It is also noted that an application moved before the learned executing court for withdrawing from the statement made on 05.06.2025, has been dismissed *vide* order dated 23.07.2025 made by the learned executing court.
5. In the circumstances, after making submissions at some length; and in view of what has transpired in court, learned counsel appearing for the appellant seeks time to take further instructions in the matter.
6. For the above purpose, re-notify on 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2025.
7. It is made clear that *no* notice has been issued on the appeal or on any of the applications *so far*.
8. As requested by learned counsel for the appellant, he is permitted to file a better copy of gift deed as well as of the reply to the legal notice.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**AUGUST 6, 2025**

SS