



\$~14 & 15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(COMM) 382/2025**

RAJ KUMAR DHINGRAAPPELLANT

Through: **Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate**

versus

M/S ROYALTY HOTEL PVT. LTD. & ORS.....RESPONDENT

Through: **Mr. Sheel Pathak, Advocate for R-3.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Mittal, Mr. Anshul
Mittal and Mr. Vaibhav Garg,
Advocates for R-2 and R-4**

15

+ **RFA(COMM) 449/2025 & CM APPL. 47778/2025, CM APPL.
47779/2025**

MR. MOHIT JAIN AND ANRAPPELLANT

Through: **Mr. Sunil Kumar Mittal, Mr. Anshul
Mittal and Mr. Vaibhav Garg,
Advocates**

versus

RAJ KUMAR DHINGRA AND ORSRESPONDENT

Through: **Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate for R-
1.
Mr. Sheel Pathak, Advocate for R-2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

% **01.04.2026**

1. The original defendant no.3, who is respondent herein, claims that he be granted time to file reply in *RFA (COMM) 449/2025*, as the reply filed by



him is under objection.

2. That being so, we grant time of two weeks to remove objections, failing which, the reply shall stand rejected.
3. The contention raised by learned counsel for that appellant in *RFA (COMM) 382/2025* that his Appeal Memo be treated as reply in *RFA (COMM) 449/2025*, is accepted.
4. List on 30th April, 2026.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

APRIL 1, 2026/ay/sk