



\$~4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 7396/2022

MOHD. TASLEEM ARIF & ANR.Petitioner

Through: Mr.D.Hasija, Advocate

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms.Avni Singh, Advocate for R-2, 5 & 8

Ms.Prabsahay Kaur, SC with
Ms.Nanda Devi Deka, Ms.Kavya,
Mr.Saurav and Ms.Shubhra,
Advocates for R-1, 6 & 7

Mr.Jagdish Chandra, Mr.Sujeet and
Mr.Siddharth, Advocates for R-2

CORAM: SH. PAWAN KUMAR KALRA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

27.11.2025

%

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through hybrid mode.

Counter affidavit stands filed by respondent no.1, which is stated to have been adopted by respondent nos. 6 & 7 also. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within six weeks as prayed for.

Counsel for respondent no. 2 & 5 had already submitted that they are proforma party and no counter affidavit is required to be filed on their behalf.

Right of respondent nos. 3, 4 & 9 to file the counter affidavit already stands closed.

List on 28.04.2026.

CM APPL. 64728/2023 (by petitioner seeking directions to place on record additional documents)



Reply to the application has not been filed by the served non-applicants. Let reply, if any, be filed within four weeks failing which, right to file the same shall automatically stand closed. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks from today.

On applicant filing process fee within two weeks, let notice of the application be issued to respondent no.9 by all permissible modes, returnable on 28.04.2026. It be indicated in the notice that reply, if any, be filed within four weeks of service.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 27, 2025/sk