



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 133/2022 & CRL.M.A. 6285/2022**

IMRAN

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Suresh C. Sati, Mr. Satish Chandra, Advs.
versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP
SI Esther Dazii, PS Mehrauli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **11.10.2022**

CRL.M.(BAIL) 387/2022-SUSPENSION OF SENTENCE

The application for suspension of sentence is to be heard.

Mr. Sati, learned counsel for the appellant, requests for an accommodation to address arguments on the same.

At request, re-notify on 12.12.2022.

Let the Nominal Roll be also requisitioned from the jail authorities.

Since this is a matter inter alia under Sections 4/8 of the POCSO Act, let intimation under Section 439 (1-A) Cr.P.C read with Delhi High Court Practice Directions dated 24.09.20219 in form Annexure-A attached to the Practise Directions be sent by the Investigating Officer to the complainant/prosecutrix/informant informing them of the pendency of the present matter to enable them or their authorized signatory to be present in Court if they so desire, either through video conferencing or in Court. It is clarified that it is not compulsory for the prosecutrix to appear in person for the hearing.

OCTOBER 11, 2022 / (MS)

JASMEET SINGH, J

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)