



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 11584/2025 & CM APPL. 47417/2025**
MASTER PRATIK (THROUGH HIS MOTHER KANCHAN)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Sinha, Ms. Garima, Ms.
Humaira Salam and Mr. Sunil Kumar
Tiwari, Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (DOE) AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Adv. for R-1 to
R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

08.08.2025

1. The present petition has been filed seeking following relief:

I. Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction directing Respondent No.4 – DAV Public School, Ashok Vihar, Delhi–110052, to forthwith grant readmission/admission to the Petitioner, Master Pratik, in Class VII for the academic session 2025–26 under the EWS category, and to allow him to continue his education under the said category up to Class XII, including permission to appear in all examinations.

II. Issue any appropriate writ, order, or direction directing Respondent No.1 to take necessary action against Respondent No.4 school in accordance with law for its failure to grant readmission to the Petitioner in Class VII for the academic session 2025–26.

III. Pass any other order or direction or such further orders as may be deemed just and appropriate, in the facts and circumstances of the case and also in the interest of justice, in favour of the petitioner; and

IV. Allow the present writ petition with costs, in favour of the petitioner.



2. Mr. Pankaj Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent no.4/DAV Public School, Ashok Vihar, is not re-admitting the petitioner in Class VII for the academic session 2025-26 under the EWS category and thus, not allowing him to continue his education.
3. Elaborating on his submission, he submits that the respondent no.4 had informed the petitioner that he has failed in Class VI and was not promoted. Subsequently, he was promoted to Class VII, however, thereafter, he has not been allowed to continue with his classes.
4. He further contends that under the Right to Education Act, the petitioner has right to Elementary Education.
5. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 accepts notice.
6. On petitioner taking steps, notice be issued to the respondent no.4, by all permissible modes.
7. Let counter-affidavit be filed within a period of three weeks from the date of service. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date.
8. List on 13.10.2025.
9. In the meanwhile, the respondent no.4 school is directed to allow the petitioner to attend his classes in Class VII for the academic session 2025-26.
10. Order *dasti* under the Signatures of the Court Master.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 8, 2025/dss