



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2304/2010, CM APPL. 4637/2010**

YASH NIGAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.R.K. Saini, Advocate

versus

DELHI MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Vedant Sood, for

Mr.T.Singdev, Advocates for R-1

Mr.Nitish Garg, Advocate for R-2

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **03.11.2025**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through hybrid mode.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the application for substitution of name of respondent MCI was infact to be filed by the petitioner and not by the respondent. However, vide order dated 06.03.2025, it was directed to be filed by the respondent.

Counsel for petitioner seeks some time to file appropriate application as directed vide order dated 06.03.2025. Let order dated 06.03.2025 be complied by the concerned counsel within four weeks from today.

Written synopsis filed by the petitioner is reported to be lying under objection. Let counsel check with the registry and get the same placed on record after removing the objections within two weeks.

Let written synopsis be filed by the respondent within four weeks from today.

List on 23.03.2026.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 3, 2025/sk