



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P. 1344/2023**

MAHTAB SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Varun Deshwal, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF GNCT & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for the State
with SI Narinder, PS NIA.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
27.03.2024

%

1. Steps have not been taken by the petitioner.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that on the last date of hearing, the Court had noted down the relevant facts and issued notice. Learned APP for the State was present in the Court and had accepted notice. It was also simultaneously directed that on petitioner taking requisite steps, notice be issued to respondent No.2 by all permissible modes.
3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, notice was required to be issued to respondent Nos.2 to 8 but due to some inadvertence, it had been directed to be issued to respondent No.2 only.
4. Heard.
5. Let notice be issued to all respondents Nos.2 to 8.
6. It is also undertaken by learned counsel for the petitioner that all the possible safeguards and steps would be taken as per the



existing Rules to conceal the identity of juvenile respondents and fresh memo of parties would be filed. Let the same be done.

7. List on 25th April, 2024.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MARCH 27, 2024
st