



\$~53 to 55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11555/2025**

M/S GKS PROJECTS EVENTSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishikesh kumar, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr Lalitaksh Joshi and Ms Minu Kumari Advocates.

54

+ **W.P.(C) 11563/2025**

M/S GKS PROJECTS EVENTSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishikesh kumar, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr Lalitaksh Joshi and Ms Minu Kumari Advocates.

55

+ **W.P.(C) 14251/2025**

M/S GKS PROJECTS AND EVENTSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishikesh kumar, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr Lalitaksh Joshi and Ms Minu Kumari Advocates.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
% 23.03.2026

1. Mr. Lalitaksh Joshi, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the official respondents points out that the affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents. He submits that the claim of the petitioner to the extent of Rs.1,12,77,699/- has been accepted. According to him, the petitioner is not entitled for any further claim and if the petitioner is aggrieved by the exercise carried out by the respondents, it shall be at liberty to invoke Clause 25 in the agreement, which relates to Arbitration.
2. The aforesaid submissions made by Mr. Joshi are vehemently opposed by Mr. Rishikesh, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the petitioner. According to him, there are three different work orders and the respondents have undertaken exercise only with respect to one of the Districts (West District).
3. The affidavit of minutes refers two writ petitions i.e. W.P.(C) 11555/2025 and W.P.(C) 11563/2025, however, it is not discernable as to whether the minutes recorded by the respondents relate to all three work orders, or are limited to one of the word orders.
4. Let the respondents to place on record an affidavit clarifying the said position. Let the respondents to also undertake similar exercise, which has been undertaken with respect to West District, if the same is necessary for adjudication of the remaining claim of the petitioner.
5. Let the affidavit in that respect be placed on record by the respondents before the next date of hearing.



6. List on 07.05.2026.

MARCH 23, 2026

Nc

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J