



\$~81&82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11555/2025

(81) M/S GKS PROJECTS EVENTSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, Ms. Sheenu
Priya, Mr. Aman Kumar, Mr.
Himanshu Chugh, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Lalitaksh Joshi, Ms. Ananya
Sanjiv Saraogi, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 11563/2025

(82) M/S GKS PROJECTS EVENTSPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, Ms. Sheenu
Priya, Mr. Aman Kumar, Mr.
Himanshu Chugh, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Lalitaksh Joshi, Ms. Ananya
Sanjiv Saraogi, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

14.08.2025

CM APPL. 47219/2025 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 11555/2025

CM APPL. 47244/2025 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 11563/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications are disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11555/2025

W.P.(C) 11563/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner being aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents in making the requisite payments to the petitioner in respect of the work performed pursuant to the work order



placed on the petitioner in terms of E-Tender issued by the Respondent no.1 for the supply and installation of tentage and electrical infrastructure for Kanwar Camps at various designated locations.

4. The work order was issued to the petitioner for the purpose of providing tentage, electric and allied works/ services during Kanwar Yatra 2023.

5. In terms of the relevant conditions of the tender and the work order, all the bills raised by the petitioner were required to be verified by the Tehsildar/ Executive Magistrate of the concerned area and thereafter cross-signed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate of the concerned area after completion of work.

6. The petitioner avers that it executed the entire scope of work as per the tender and raised invoices which were duly verified by the concerned Tehsildar/ Executive Magistrate and cross-signed by the concerned Sub-Divisional Magistrate. The total dues of the petitioner is stated to be Rs. 3,60,60,283/- in W.P.(C) 11555/2025 and Rs. 2,29,44,289/- in W.P.(C) 11563/2025.

7. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that only a few payments have been made to the petitioner, and substantial part of the payment and settlement remains unpaid. He submits that the denial of payment, despite there being no grievance with regard to the work performed by the petitioner, is wholly arbitrary and is causing grave prejudice to the petitioner.

8. Issue notice.

9. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

10. Considering the facts narrated in the petition and having heard respective counsel for the parties, the concerned Sub Divisional Magistrates is



directed to interact with the petitioner and endeavour to work out/verify the payment entitlement of the petitioner. The requisite payment/s as may be found due and payable to the petitioner, be released as expeditiously as possible. Let the said exercise be carried out expeditiously, and concluded within a period of four weeks from today.

11. List on 17.11.2025.

12. Let the concerned Sub-Divisional Magistrate join the proceedings virtually on the next date of hearing.

SACHIN DATTA, J

AUGUST 14, 2025/uk