



\$~15

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CRL.A. 769/2024

KISHAN SRESTH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. C. Birbal and Ms. Anchal
Bansal, Advs.

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Atul Guleria and Mr. Aryan
Rakesh, Advs.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

18.02.2025

%

File is placed before this Court vide order dated 21.01.2025 for filing reply and rejoinder.

As per office note, reply has been filed and rejoinder is awaited.

Learned counsel for appellant submits that she does not wish to file rejoinder, however, she be given time to file written submissions to the reply filed by the CBI.

It is observed that vide order dated 21.01.2025 there was direction to file rejoinder after reply has been filed by the respondent. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the same has been inadvertently mentioned rejoinder in place of written submissions in the order of Hon'ble Court.

Let rejoinder/written submission to the reply filed by CBI be filed within two weeks with direction to exchange copy with the opposite side.

Renotify on 18.03.2025.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/yo