



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11548/2024**

GOPI CHAND BHATIA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr Pratyush Miglani with Mr Nikhil
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

REGISTRAR OF DELHI COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms Vaishali Gupta, Advocate for R1.
Mr Arjun Mahajan, Standing Counsel
for DDA with Mr Apoorv Upmanyu
and Mr H. Vashisth, Advocates for
DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

17.02.2025

CM APPL. 47876/2024

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CM APPL. 1975/2025

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay in filing the documents is condoned.
4. The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11548/2024 and CM APPL. 47877/2024 (Stay)

5. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1/RCS submits that pursuant to the inspection report, the findings were forwarded to the



DDA to take the necessary action as it was found that certain portions of the property were illegally alienated.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the DDA submits that DDA has not received any such communication, and therefore, has not initiated any action.

7. Respondent no.1/RCS shall file an affidavit within a period of two weeks from date, setting out the further steps taken and proposed to be taken pursuant to the inspection report. The respondent no.1/RCS shall also place on record the communications, if any, sent to the DDA in this regard. An advance copy of the affidavit be served on the learned counsel for the DDA as well.

8. The DDA shall also file an affidavit before the next date of hearing.

9. List on 16.04.2025.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2025/tr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)