



5# & 13# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 647/2010**

ADESH KANWARJIT SINGH BRAR Plaintiff
Represented by: **Mr. Ranvir Singh, Advocate.**

versus

BABLI BRAR & ORS Defendant
Represented by: **Mr. Arjun Dewan and Ms. Anupama Kumar, Advocates for defendant No. 1.**
Mr. Sumeet Sharma, Advocate for defendant No. 3.
Mr. Uday Chauhan with Ms. Sunita Bansal, Advocates for SHO.

+ **CS(OS) 2023/2011**

CHARANJIT KAUR BRAR Plaintiff
Represented by: **Mr. Sumeet Sharma, Advocate.**

versus

BABLI BRAR & ORS Defendants
Represented by: **Mr. Arjun Dewan and Ms. Anupama Kumar, advocates for defendant No.1.**
Mr. Ranvir Singh, Advocate for defendant No.3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

% **ORDER**
10.07.2017

CS(OS) 647/2010 and CS(OS) 2023/2011

Page 1 of 3



CS(OS) 647/2010 and I.A. Nos. 4550/2010 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC), 5648/2010 (under Order XXXIX Rule 4 CPC by defendant No.1 and 2), 7824/2010 (under Order XXXIX Rule 2A CPC by defendant Nos. 1 and 2) and 8225/2010 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC by defendant No.1)
CS(OS) 2023/2011

1. The suit is listed for compliance of order dated 23rd November, 2016 passed by this Court.
2. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the evidence of the plaintiff stands automatically closed pursuant to order dated 11th May, 2017 passed by the learned Joint Registrar.
3. It is now the turn of the defendants to lead evidence and as informed by the parties the matter is listed before the local commissioner on 31st July, 2017.
4. List the suit and the applications on 6th February, 2018.

I.A. No. 2793/2017 (under Order XXVII Rule 3 CPC by defendant No. 1) in CS(OS) 647/2010

1. By this application the defendants have sought closing of the plaintiff's evidence.
2. Vide order dated 11th May, 2017 the learned Joint Registrar granted one final opportunity to file the affidavit of evidence of the remaining witnesses of the plaintiff within two weeks positively subject to costs failing which the right to examine any further witnesses of the plaintiffs stood automatically closed.



3. Since further evidence by way of affidavit of the plaintiff's witnesses was not filed, evidence of the plaintiff's witnesses stands closed, the instant application is consequently disposed of as infructuous.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JULY 10, 2017
'vn'

CS(OS) 647/2010 and CS(OS) 2023/2011

Page 3 of 3