



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 486/2022**

NEC CORPORATION

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Debashish Banerjee and Mr.
Vineet Rohilla, Advs.

versus

ASSISTANT CONTROLLER OF PATENTS AND DESIGNS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan Shankar,
CGSC, Mr. Srish Kumar Mishra, Mr. Sagar
Mehlawat and Mr. Alexander Mathai Paikaday,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

06.02.2023

1. The respondent has circulated a request for adjournment to which learned Counsel for appellant has no objection.

2. Accordingly, re-notify on 26th April 2023.

3. Written submissions of the appellant are on record. The respondent's submissions are not on record. The respondent is directed to file its written submissions at least 48 hours in advance of the next date of hearing.

4. Both sides are also directed to file duly indexed compilations of any judicial authorities on which they may seek to place reliance with the

C.A.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 486/2022

Page 1 of 2



relevant paragraph nos. indicated in the index accompanying the compilations after exchanging copies with each other, at least 48 hours in advance of the next date of hearing.

5. In exercise of powers conferred on the Court by Rule 33 of IPD Rules 2022, I deem it appropriate to fix a strict time schedule for arguments in this matter.

6. Accordingly, each side shall be allowed one hour and no more to make their respective submissions with an additional half hour to the appellant for rejoinder. These time schedules shall be strictly adhered to. As the Court is fixing time schedules and is not fixing any other matters on the said date, both parties are directed to ensure their presence. No request for adjournment would be entertained.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

FEBRUARY 6, 2023
AR