



\$~109

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 11437/2024,**

DIVYA MATTEY AND ORSPetitioner
Through: Mr. Manish Gupta, Advocate.
Mr. Vishant Prakash, Advocate.

versus

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
AND ORS**Respondents
Through: Mr. Apoorv Upmanyu,
Advocate with Ms. Neha Rai, Mr. Arjun
Mahrajan, SC for DDA for DDA/R-1 (M:
9899215738).
Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, Advocate Panel
Counse, GNCTD with Mr. Fateh Singh
Bhullar, Advocate for R-2/DoE.
Mr. Puneet Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sakshi Mendiratta, Advocate for R-3 &
R-5.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER
% **20.08.2024**
**CM APPL. 47502/2024 (Ex. From filing typed copy of dim
annexures)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**CM APPL. 47503/2024 (Seeking permission to file lengthy list of
dates and synopsis)**

3. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
4. The petitioner is permitted to file the lengthy list of dates and synopsis.



5. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11437/2024 & CM APPL. 47501/2024 – Interim Relief

6. The petitioner is invoking the extraordinary territorial jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of an appropriate writ/directions against the respondent no.1 to cancel the allotment of land to respondent no.5 and to reclaim the possession of the land allotted to them in terms of recommendation of respondent no.2/DoE contained in the letter dated 02.07.2024.

7. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4 are present on advance notice as also learned Senior Counsel for respondent nos.3 & 5. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4 request for some time to seek instructions.

8. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 and 5 in some detail, it is noted that, in the instant writ petition, two other writ petitions have already been instituted against respondent nos. 3 & 5, which are currently pending consideration before this Court. These petitions, W.P. (C) 14473/2022 and W.P. (C) 8597/2024, seek similar reliefs regarding the cancellation of the allotment of land to respondent nos. 3 and 5.

9. In fact, Mr. Puneet Mittal, learned Senior Counsel has pointed out that petitioner no.23 has also filed W.P. (C) 9243/2024. It has been vehemently urged by Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 5 that similar reliefs are being sought in the aforesaid three writ petitions and the present writ petition is, therefore, not maintainable.

10. Although, the submissions made by learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 5 are disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner. As requested by learned counsel for the petitioner, the



relevant documents concerning the aforesaid three writ petitions be placed on record within a period of four weeks from today.

11. If so advised, respondent no.3 & 5 shall be at liberty to file a short affidavit with relevant documents.

12. Re-notify for further hearing on 19.11.2024.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

AUGUST 20, 2024/pkv