



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 35/2020**

NEELAM SHARMA & ORS

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. Parth Bhardwaj, Advocate.

versus

**PRAVEEN BHARDWAJ & ANR (M/S TATA AIG GENERAL
INSURANCE)**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aayush Sai, Advocate for R-1.
Ms. Vandana Kahlon and Mr. Rudra
Kahlon, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

23.07.2025

%

CM APPL. 3130/2020 [Additional evidence]

1. This is an Application seeking to place on record additional evidence.
2. No Replies have been filed by Respondent No.1.
3. A perusal of the record shows that the Application is bereft of any particulars, it merely states that the originality and correctness of certain documents is in issue. Paragraph 3 of the Application is set out below:

“3. That the Ld. Tribunal has raised questions upon the originality and correctness of certain important documents like the MLC as well as the hospital discharge summaries and bills. Therefore, in the interest of justice it is necessary that the appellants be given one opportunity to lead the necessary evidence to prove these important documents and any such additional evidence which is necessary to prove the case of the appellants.”

4. In view of the fact that the Appellants have not filed any documents as additional evidence nor set out any cogent reason for adducing additional



evidence, the Application is dismissed.

MAC.APP. 35/2020

5. A request for adjournment is made on behalf of learned Counsel for the Appellants to comply with the order dated 19.03.2025. Let the needful be done at least one week before the next date of hearing.
6. Learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 submit that although the matter is listed before mediation, the matter cannot be mediated given the issue that is involved in the present case.
7. List for hearing on 24.11.2025.
8. The parties shall act based on a digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JULY 23, 2025/pa