



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RFA(COMM) 434/2025**

M/S BOHRA INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES PVT LTD

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv.

versus

M/S ARISTOBRAT RETAIL PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

% **31.07.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 46433/2025

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

RFA(COMM) 434/2025

3. The present first appeal has been filed by the Appellant under Section 96 read with Order XLI of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 and Section 13 of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015, *inter alia*, assailing the judgment dated 30th April 2025 (hereinafter, '*impugned judgment*') passed by the Id. District Judge (Commercial Court), South East, Saket Court, New Delhi in ***CS(COMM) No. 25 of 2024***.

4. *Vide* the impugned judgment, the suit of the Appellant/ Plaintiff has been decreed in the following terms:

“29. Accordingly, on the basis of above discussion, the suit of the plaintiff is hereby decreed in favour of the



plaintiff and against the defendant for a sum of Rs. 2,51,991/- alongwith interest at the rate of 6 % per annum from the date of filing of suit till its realization. Parties to bear their own costs. Decree sheet be drawn accordingly. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.”

5. The grievance of the Appellant/Plaintiff is that the said suit was originally for a sum of Rs. 5,03,675/- but the same has been decreed for a lesser amount, by the Id. Commercial Court without any basis.
6. Issue notice.
7. Let the Respondent be served through the Registry.
8. In the meanwhile, let the Trial Court Record be requisitioned and digitized.
9. List before the Joint Registrar on 3rd September 2025.
10. List before the Court on 10th November 2025.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

SHAIL JAIN, J.

JULY 31, 2025

kk/ck