



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010510522019

+ **W.P.(C) 155/2020**

JAGPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Ms. Anjali Bansal, Advocates.

versus

STATE BANK OF MYSORE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, SC for SBI with Mr. Akshit Kapur, Ms. Riya Sood, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **11.08.2026**

CM APPL. 65311/2025 (Seeking correction of the name of the petitioner in the memo of parties)

1. By way of the present application, the applicant/petitioner seeks correction in his name as mentioned in the memo of parties and record of the above captioned writ petition.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that though in the statement of claim filed before the learned Labour Court the petitioner's name has been inadvertently mentioned as '*Jagpal Singh son of Shri Pyare Lal*', resident of E-303, Phase-2, JJ Colony, *Nangloi*, the correct name of the petitioner is '*Jagpal son of Shri Pyare Lal*'. The present application is also accompanied by identity documents of the petitioner, including his pass-book, PAN card and Aadhar Card. It is submitted that all other particulars of the petitioner are the same as disclosed in the statement of claim.
3. Issue notice.
4. Notice is accepted by learned counsel for the respondent who states, upon instructions, that he has no objection to the same.



5. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the respondent, while referring to the order dated 30.08.2024, states that since State Bank of *Mysore* has since been merged with State Bank of India and pursuant to the merger, the State Bank of *Mysore* does not exist as an entity and documents in this regard have already been placed on record.

6. In view of the above, an amended memo of parties be filed within a week, mentioning the correct name of the petitioner, as well as the respondent/bank. The petitioner shall also place on record his present address.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

W.P.(C) 155/2020

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent states that a payment of costs in the sum of Rs.10,000/- was imposed upon the respondent and pursuant thereto, the respondent-bank had issued a cheque in the name of the petitioner. The said cheque may be returned to the respondent/bank so that a fresh cheque indicating the correct name of the petitioner could be issued. Let the same be done on or before the next date of hearing.

2. Renotify on 30.10.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 11, 2026/rd