



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 765/2025**

INCYTE HOLDINGS CORPORATION & ORS.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rishabh Paliwal & Mr.
Sanchit Sharma, Advs.
(through VC)
(M- 8091720227)

versus

PRAJNA GENRICS PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Vibhor Garg & Mr.
Ravindra Kumar, Advs.
(through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

20.01.2026

Service-affidavit has been filed by the plaintiff and as per same the defendant was served on 22.09.2025 through speed posts & through email on 21.09.2025.

The written statement filed by the defendant is still under objections, objections be removed as per law, thereafter replication, if any be filed as per law.

The parties are also directed to file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

Parties are also directed to inspect and flag their documents before the date of admission/denial.

The defendant is also at liberty to file the additional affidavit of admission/denial, if any document is filed alongwith the replication.



List the matter for admission, denial and marking of exhibits on **30.04.2026**.

IA NO. 18143/2025 (u/o XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)

Reply filed by the defendant is under objection, its objections be removed as per law, thereafter rejoinder be filed as per order of Hon'ble Court dated 30.07.2025.

IA No. 18147/2025 (By plaintiffs u/o XI Rule 2 & 5 (as amended by CCA) r/w Rule 17 of Delhi High Court Intellectual Property Rights Division Rules & Section 151 CPC)

No reply has been filed by the defendant and the period of four weeks to file the reply as per order of the Hon'ble Court dated 30.07.2025 has already expired, however, ld. counsel for the defendant submits that he will file the reply with an appropriate application.

IA No. 1484/2026 (By defendant u/o VIII Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing written statement)

Ld. counsel for the defendant submits that he wants to withdraw the said IA with the liberty prayed to file the IA again as there is a typographical error in the present IA. Heard and allowed with liberty prayed.

The said IA is disposed off as withdrawn.

SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
JANUARY 20, 2026/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)