



\$~15 & 16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 222/2005**

VEER BHAN GULATI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. M.L. Yadav, Advocate.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for the
State with SI Sonu Kumar, P.S.
Seemapuri.
Ms. Smriti Maheshwari and Ms.
Diksha Suri, Advocates for
Complainant.

16

+ **CRL.REV.P. 677/2005**

BHIM SAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Smriti Maheshwari and Ms.
Diksha Suri, Advocates.

versus

VEER BHAN GULATI & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for the
State with SI Sonu Kumar, P.S.
Seemapuri.
Mr. M.L. Yadav, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

21.08.2024

%



1. **CRL.A. 222/2005** has been preferred by the appellant challenging the conviction for offences under Section 498A IPC in proceedings arising out of FIR No. 383/1991 registered at PS Seema Puri, while **CRL. REV. P. 677/2005** has been preferred by the complainant assailing the acquittal of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 under Section 304 IPC.
2. Counsel for the parties seek to put further note of arguments on record which shall not be more than three pages synopsising their contentions and cross references to PDF page numbers of the Court file, to be exchanged between the parties prior to the next date of hearing.
3. Learned APP for the State objects to the maintainability of the revision petition on the basis of Section 401(3) Cr.P.C. while counsel for revisionist relies on 401(5) Cr.P.C. This aspect shall be considered on the next date of hearing.
4. Re-notify on 04th December, 2024.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

AUGUST 21, 2024/MR