



\$~19 & 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 222/2005

VEER BHAN GULATI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.M.L.Yadav, Mr.Prashant,
Mr.Piyush Saini and Mr.Hardeep
Godara, Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for the
State.

Ms. Bhuvakshi Singh, Adv. proxy
counsel for Mr.Laksh Khanna,Adv
for respondent Bhim Sain.

20

+ CRL.REV.P. 677/2005

BHIM SAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Bhuvakshi Singh, Adv. proxy
counsel for Mr.Laksh Khanna,Adv.

versus

VEER BHAN GULATI & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. M.L. Yadav, Mr. Prashant,
Mr.Piyush Saini and Mr.Hardeep
Godara, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

20.07.2026

%

1. Hybrid mode.

CRL.A. 222/2005

2. Adjournment is sought on behalf of the Revisionist in the connected
case ie.Crl.A.677/2005, therefore in such eventuality when both the cases



are connected matters, the adjournment is granted.

3. List on 01.09.2026.

CRL.REV.P. 677/2005

4. Adjournment is sought on behalf of the Revisionist stating that learned Arguing Counsel is not available to argue the matter today.

5. Adjournment granted, not opposed.

6. List on 01.09.2026, with the connected matter.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

JULY 20, 2026/bj